Modularity, Level Lowering, and the Proof of Fermat's Last Theorem

Mohammad Hamdar

Université de Montréal, April 8 2024

Mohammad Hamdar Modularity, Level Lowering, and the Proof of Fermat's Last Theo

Modular Forms: A Quick Intro

Mohammad Hamdar Modularity, Level Lowering, and the Proof of Fermat's Last Theo

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

э

Modular Forms: A Quick Intro

Let

$$\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}, \Im(z) > 0\}$$

denote the upper half plane, and

$$\Gamma(1) := SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \left\{ egin{array}{c} a & b \ c & d \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, ad - bc = 1
ight\}$$

be the full modular group.

Then $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ acts on \mathbb{H} in the standard way by *Möbius* transformations:

$$\mathsf{For} \; z \in \mathbb{H} \; \mathsf{and} \; \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{a} & \mathsf{b} \\ \mathsf{c} & \mathsf{d} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathsf{\Gamma}(1), \; \gamma.z = \frac{\mathsf{a}z + \mathsf{b}}{\mathsf{c}z + \mathsf{d}}$$

伺 ト イヨ ト イヨ ト

Definition

A modular form of weight $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ on $\Gamma(1)$ is a holomorphic function $f : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

•
$$f(\gamma z) = (cz + d)^k f(z)$$
 for $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(1)$

•
$$f$$
 is holomorphic at ∞ (or $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n z}$).

Definition

If $a_0 = 0$ in the preceding definition (i.e. f vanishes at ∞), we say that f is a cusp form.

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

Modular Forms on Congruence Subgroups

The principle subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ of level $N \in \mathbb{N}$ is given by

$$\Gamma(N) := \left\{ egin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : egin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mod N
ight\}.$$

Definition

A congruence subgroup is a subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ that contains $\Gamma(N)$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition

A modular form of weight $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and level N is a holomorphic function $f : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying:

•
$$f(\gamma z) = (cz + d)^k f(z)$$
 for $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N)$

• f is holomorphic at all the cusps of $\Gamma_0(N)$.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

- A cusp form *f* of level *N* is called a newform if it is a normalized eigenform which cannot be constructed from modular forms of lower levels *M* dividing *N*.
- Oldforms can be constructed using the following observation: if M | N then Γ₀(N) ⊂ Γ₀(M) giving a reverse inclusion of modular forms M_k(Γ₀(M)) ⊂ M_k(Γ₀(N)).
- For Modularity, we will consider weight 2 newforms.

▲御▶ ▲ 国▶ ▲ 国▶ - 国 - 釣Q(や

- A cusp form f of level N is called a newform if it is a normalized eigenform which cannot be constructed from modular forms of lower levels M dividing N.
- Oldforms can be constructed using the following observation: if M | N then Γ₀(N) ⊂ Γ₀(M) giving a reverse inclusion of modular forms M_k(Γ₀(M)) ⊂ M_k(Γ₀(N)).
- For Modularity, we will consider weight 2 newforms.

Theorem

There are no newforms of weight 2 at levels

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 16, 18, 22, 25, 28, 60

- (同) (回) (回) (回) (回)

The Modularity Theorem

Given a newform $f(z) = q + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n q^n$, we have that:

- $K = \mathbb{Q}(a_2, a_3, ...)$ is a totally real finite extension of Q.
- $a_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$.

We call f rational if $K = \mathbb{Q}$.

The Modularity Theorem

Given a newform $f(z) = q + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n q^n$, we have that:

• $K = \mathbb{Q}(a_2, a_3, ...)$ is a totally real finite extension of Q.

•
$$a_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$$
.

We call f rational if $K = \mathbb{Q}$. Given an elliptic curve E over \mathbb{Q} , we can define the conductor of E as

$$N = \prod_{p \text{ bad}} p^{f_p}$$

where $f_p = 1$ if *E* has multiplicative reduction at *p*, and if *E* has additive reduction at *p*: $f_p = 2$ if $p \neq 2, 3$ and for p = 2, 3, $f_p \ge 2$ are given by Ogg's formula.

Theorem (**Modularity**, Wiles and others¹)

There is a bijection from

{Rational Newforms of weight 2 and Level N}

to

{Isogeny Classes of Elliptic Curves over Q of Conductor N}

given by

$$f(q) = q + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n q^n \leftrightarrow E_f,$$

where $a_p = a_p(E_f)$ with $a_p(E_f) := p + 1 - \#E_f(\mathbb{F}_p)$ for all primes $p \nmid N$.

Definition

Let

- E be an elliptic curve of conductor N,
- $f = q + \sum_{n \ge 2} c_n q^n$ be a newform of level N',
- $K = \mathbb{Q}(c_2, c_3, \dots)$,
- p a prime.

We say *E* arises from *f* mod *p* and write $E \sim_p f$ if there is some prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$ of \mathcal{O}_K such that for all primes ℓ

- i) if $\ell \nmid pNN'$ then $a_{\ell}(E) \equiv c_{\ell} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$
- ii) if $\ell || N$ and $\ell \nmid pN'$ then $\ell + 1 \equiv \pm c_{\ell} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$

If f is rational then it corresponds to an elliptic curve E' of conductor N'. In which case we write $E \sim_p E'$.

くロ とくぼ とくほ とく 日 とうしょう

Ribet's Level Lowering Theorem

Let

- 1) E/\mathbb{Q} be an elliptic curve
- 2) $\Delta = \Delta_{\min}$ the discriminant of a minimal model of *E*
- 3) N be the conductor of E
- 4) for a prime p,

$$N_p = N \left/ \prod_{\substack{p \mid n \ p \mid \text{ord}_q(\Delta)}} q \cdot \right.$$

Theorem (A simplified special case of Ribet's Theorem)

Let $p \ge 3$ be a prime. Suppose

- E has no p-isogenies
- E is modular

Then there exists a newform f of level N_p such that $E \sim_p f$.

伺 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

Theorem (Mazur)

Let E/\mathbb{Q} be an elliptic curve and p a prime number. If one of the following holds:

- *p* > 163,
- or p ≥ 5 and #E(Q)[2] = 4 and the conductor of E is squarefree,

then E doesn't have p-isogenies.

Fermat's Last Theorem

A brief chronology of the progress made toward proving Fermat's Last Theorem prior to Wiles' work is listed below below.

| 1637 | Fermat makes his conjecture and proves it for $n = 4$. |
|-------------|---|
| 1753 | Euler proves FLT for $n = 3$ (his proof has a fixable error). |
| 1800s | Sophie Germain proves FLT for $n \nmid xyz$ for all $n < 100$. |
| 1825 | Dirichlet and Legendre complete the proof for $n = 5$. |
| 1839 | Lamé addresses $n = 7$. |
| 1847 | Kummer proves FLT for all primes $n \nmid h(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n))$, called <i>regular</i> primes. |
| | This leaves 37, 59, and 67 as the only open cases for $n < 100$. |
| 1857 | Kummer addresses 37, 59, and 67, but his proof has gaps. |
| 1926 | Vandiver fills the gaps and addresses all irregular primes $n < 157$. |
| 1937 | Vandiver and assistants handle all irregular primes $n < 607$. |
| 1954 | Lehmer, Lehmer, and Vandiver introduce techniques better suited to |
| | mechanical computation and use a computer to address all $n < 2521$. |
| 1954 - 1993 | Computers verify FLT for all $n < 4,000,000$. |

Source: Andrew Sutherland's lecture notes on elliptic curves, lecture 26

▲ロト ▲周ト ▲目ト ▲目ト 三目 - のへで

Let $p \ge 5$ be a prime number and a, b, c be integers satisfying

$$a^p + b^p + c^p = 0$$

with $abc \neq 0$, gcd(a, b, c) = 1, $2 \mid b$, and $a^p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$.

▲□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ □ ● ● ● ●

Let $p \ge 5$ be a prime number and a, b, c be integers satisfying

$$a^p + b^p + c^p = 0$$

with $abc \neq 0$, $gcd(a, b, c) = 1, 2 \mid b$, and $a^p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$. This gives rise to an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q}

$$E: Y^2 = X(X - a^p)(X + b^p),$$

with $\Delta = 16a^{2p}b^{2p}(a^p + b^p)^2 = 16a^{2p}b^{2p}c^{2p}$.

We can apply Tate's algorithm to get

$$\Delta_{\min} = \frac{a^{2p}b^{2p}c^{2p}}{2^8}, \quad N = \prod_{\ell \mid abc} \ell.$$

Recall

$$N_p = N \left/ \prod_{\substack{p \mid ord_q(\Delta)}} q \right|,$$

and so in this case $N_p = 2$.

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

By Mazur's Theorem, E doesn't have any p-isogenies for $p \ge 5$.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

By Mazur's Theorem, *E* doesn't have any *p*-isogenies for $p \ge 5$. Therefore, we can use Ribet's Theorem to get that there exists a newform *f* of level $N_p = 2$ such that $E \sim_p f$.

通 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

-

By Mazur's Theorem, E doesn't have any p-isogenies for $p \ge 5$. Therefore, we can use Ribet's Theorem to get that there exists a newform f of level $N_p = 2$ such that $E \sim_p f$. But recall,

Theorem

There are no newforms of weight 2 at levels

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 16, 18, 22, 25, 28, 60

Contradiction!

伺 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

Thank You!

Mohammad Hamdar Modularity, Level Lowering, and the Proof of Fermat's Last Theo

æ